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INTRODUCTION

Since 2013, Screening for Critical Congenital Heart Disease (CCHD) has been the standard of care, using an algorithm developed in Sweden. However, as this algorithm is complex and easily misinterpreted. In summer 2020 a panel of experts proposed a more simplified algorithm. As this strategy has not been tested, the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) has not endorsed this algorithm.

METHODS

We sent an anonymous web-based survey regarding the current and proposed CCHD screening algorithms to the members of the American AAP Section on Cardiology and Cardiac Surgery, the Pediheart online community, the Wisconsin AAP, the Wisconsin Guild of Midwives, the Association of Women’s Health, Obstetric and Neonatal Nurses as well as the Wisconsin Association for Perinatal Care.

The proposed changes:
1. All saturations ≥ 95%
2. Only two chances to pass

Although these changes would simplify the CCHD screening algorithm, it would come at a cost of a slightly higher false positive rate. We asked if the proposed changes should be implemented and what increase in the false positive rate could be tolerated.

RESULTS

Table 1 Survey Respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field of Practice</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nursing</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediatric Cardiology</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Care</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midwifery</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neonatology</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>335</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

87.7% were somewhat or extremely satisfied with the existing protocol.

Factors Influencing Willingness to Adopt the Proposed Changes
- Increasing Familiarity with Proposed Changes: P = 0.001
- Field of Practice: NS
- Years of Experience: NS
- Increasing Familiarity with Existing Protocol: NS

Factors Influencing Willingness to Accept a Higher False Positive Rate
- Newborn Echocardiography on Site: P < 0.001
- Patient Transfer for Evaluation Not Needed: P < 0.001
- Respondent Personally Performs CCHD Screening: P < 0.001
- Field of Practice: NS
- Years of Experience: NS

Adoption of Proposed Changes Based on Familiarity with the New Algorithm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Familiarity</th>
<th>Yes (%)</th>
<th>No Comment (%)</th>
<th>No (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not Familiar</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately Familiar</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extremely Familiar</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tolerance of False Positive Rate Above the Current 0.1%

- Current FP 0.1%
- FP 0.11%
- FP 0.15%
- FP 0.2%
- FP 0.35%
- FP 0.5%

Survey respondents were generally satisfied with the existing CCHD screening protocol but many felt that the proposed protocol modifications should be adopted into clinical practice.

Those most familiar with the proposed changes were the most likely to support these changes.

Although many were willing to tolerate an increased false positive rate in CCHD screening, those providers who could not complete the assessment of a baby who failed the CCHD at the birth site had the lowest tolerance for an increased false positive rate.

The respondents’ field of practice and years of experience were not significantly significant in regard to their recommendations to adopt the propose modifications or their tolerance of increased false positives.
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